

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/852,827	05/10/2001	Scott Harvey Demsky	AUS920010224US1	5442		
7590 06/28/2004			EXAM	EXAMINER		
Robert V. Wilder			RETTA, YEHDEGA			
Attorney at Lav 4235 Kingsburg		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
Round Rock, T		3622				
			DATE MAILED: 06/28/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)	- 0 f				
Office Action Summary		09/852,82	7	DEMSKY ET AL.					
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Yehdega I	Retta	3622					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address									
Period fo	•	DEDLY IS SET TO	O EVDIDE AMONTU	C) EDOM					
THE - External after - If the control of the contro	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT ansions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	FION. CFR 1.136(a). In no eve ation. ys, a reply within the statu y period will apply and will by statute, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tim tory minimum of thirty (30) day I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely, the mailing date of this col D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>10 May 2001</u> .							
·	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims								
4)	Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the appli	ication.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
	6) Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.								
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election re	equirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers								
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.							
10)	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11)[	The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. No	te the attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.				
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc			)-(d) or (f).					
	2. Certified copies of the priority doc	uments have beer	n received in Applicati	on No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the	•		ed in this National s	Stage				
• /	application from the International								
^ ``	See the attached detailed Office action fo	r a list of the certif	led copies not receive	<b>3</b> d.					
Attachmen	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(DTO 442)					
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-	948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate					
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO er No(s)/Mail Date <u>7/26/01</u> .	)/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO	-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/852,827

Art Unit: 3622

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Toohey U.S. Patent No. 6,405,176, further in view of Official Notice

Regarding claims 1-4,Toohey teaches providing different suppliers of items offered for sale at virtual store; presenting display screen, enabling selection and presenting purchase summary to customers (see col. 3 line 36 to col. 4 line 23). Toohey teaches processing multiple store level electronic shopping carts in an e-commerce mall, the shoppers being provided with the convenience of the single payment transaction for all shopping in the virtual mall (see abstract). Toohey also teaches aggregating each shopping cart of each individual store into a single transaction (see col. 3 lines 1-10 and col. 4 lines 24-40). Toohey does not explicitly teach providing discount schedules for each suppliers and presenting the appropriate discount as determined accordance to the discount schedule. Official notice is taken that is old and well known in the art of on-line shopping for merchants to provide variety of product discounts, such as current specials, volume discounts or group discounts and to calculate the price based on the specified discount before displaying the price on the shopping cart. It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate individual merchants' discount into the aggregated shopping virtual ledger of Toohey. It would have been obvious for

Art Unit: 3622

Toohey's multiple shopping carts to include the discounts provided by each merchant and to process the virtual ledgers including the discount at the mall-level.

Regarding claims 5 and 6 teaches enabling confirmation of the purchase of the selected items and saving the sales information records ... (see fig. 2 and col. 2 line 21 to col. 3 line 10).

Claims 8-11 are rejected as stated above in claims 1-4 respectively.

Claims 12-14 are rejected as stated above in claims 5 and 6 respectively.

Regarding claims 15-18, Toohey teaches a system bus; a CPU, a memory and means for receiving input from customer system, memory for storing different suppliers items offered for sale at virtual store; presenting display screen, enabling selection and presenting purchase summary to customers (see col. 3 line 36 to col. 4 line 23). Toohey teaches processing multiple store level electronic shopping carts in an e-commerce mall, the shoppers being provided with the convenience of the single payment transaction for all shopping in the virtual mall (see abstract). Toohey also teaches aggregating each shopping cart of each individual store into a single transaction (see col. 3 lines 1-10 and col. 4 lines 24-40). Toohey does not explicitly teach providing discount schedules for each suppliers and presenting the appropriate discount as determined accordance to the discount schedule. Official notice is taken that is old and well known in the art of on-line shopping for merchants to provide variety of product discounts, such as current specials, volume discounts or group discounts and to calculate the price based on the specified discount before displaying the price on the shopping cart. It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate individual merchants' discount into the aggregated shopping virtual ledger of Toohey. It would have been obvious for

Art Unit: 3622

Toohey's multiple shopping carts to include the discounts provided by each merchant and to process the virtual ledgers including the discount at the mall-level.

Claims 19-21 are rejected as stated above in claims 5 and 6 respectively.

## Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Blinn et al U.S. Patent No. 6,058,373 teaches processing electronic order forms.

Musgrove et al. U.S. Patent No. 6,725,222 teaches user selecting products fro purchase from plural merchant servers by examining product information stored on a shopping server.

Kenny U.S. Patent No. 6,381,583 teaches electronic shopping system with virtual shopping facility.

Shoham et al. U.S. Patent No. 6,584,451 teaches facilitator for aggregating buyer power in an on-line market system.

Angles et al. U.S. Patent No. 5,933,811 teaches virtual shopping mall and shopping cart.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yehdega Retta whose telephone number is (703) 305-0436. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eric Stamber can be reached on (703) 305-8469. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3622

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Yehdega Retta

Primary Examiner Art Unit 3622

YR